UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,983	02/20/2004	Jessamine Lee	H0498.70190US00	4383
86110 7590 11/20/2009 Harvard University & Medical School			EXAMINER	
c/o Wolf, Green	nfield & Sacks, P.C.		BOYER, RANDY	
600 Atlantic Avenue Boston, MA 02210-2206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/783,983	LEE ET AL.
Examiner initiation interview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit
	RANDY BOYER	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Randy Boyer</u> .	(3)	
(2) Jessamine Lee, agent for Applicant.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2009</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 24-27		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797		
(A <sub>2</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner noted apparent typographical errors in Applicant's claims 24-27 filed 3 November 2009. Specifically, claims 24-27 specify various claim dimensions in meters where it is believed Applicant actually intended micrometers (see Applicant's claims 24-27 submitted 15 April 2009). Applicant's agent questioned Examiner with regard to the Bohn reference (US 7,220,345). Specifically, Applicant's agent questioned whether the Office had made any decision with respect to potentially interfering subject matter between Applicant's claims and that of Bohn. Examiner noted that no conclusive determination had been made as of yet, but indicated that at least claim 19 of Bohn was potentially interfering with claims 1 and 13 of the instant application. Applicant's agent agreed to timely file a supplementary amendment making the necessary corrections to claims 24-27.

RB

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797